Contribution ID: f664d57f-1f54-4941-9b91-4fd369794faf

Date: 20/09/2024 14:17:03

Digital Europe programme - Open Stakeholder Consultation

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

1

Introduction

The **Digital Europe programme** is a new EU funding programme focused on bringing digital technology to businesses, individuals, and public administrations. The original overall budget was €7.5 billion for the period of 2021-2027. Following the EU Chips Act's adoption in 2023, semiconductor technology was added as a new strategic focus, bringing the total budget of the programme to €8.168 billion.

The programme's objective is to support digital transformation in industry and public administration and so to enhance the EU's open strategic autonomy and competitiveness and to reinforce EU critical digital capacities. It focuses on the key areas of (i) high performance computing, (ii) cloud-to-edge infrastructure, data spaces and artificial intelligence, (iii) cybersecurity, (iv) the necessary upskilling to provide a workforce for these advanced technologies; (v) the deployment of these technologies and their best use for critical sectors like energy or climate; and support for industry, small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and public administrations in their digital transformation and (vi) semiconductors. It will benefit Europe's people and businesses, especially SMEs. Investment under the Digital Europe programme supports the EU's twin objectives of a green transition and digital transformation while strengthening the EU's resilience and digital sovereignty.

2 Why are we consulting?

Article 26 of the Digital Europe Programme Regulation[1] requires the Commission to perform an interim evaluation of the programme. This will assess its overall effectiveness and performance, gathering valuable input for future initiatives and introducing measures to simplify procedures and reduce administrative burden. This public consultation is intended to gather your views on the Digital Europe programme and feed them into this evaluation.

The results of this consultation will be analysed and summarised in a synopsis that will be published as part of the evaluation report.

[1] Regulation (EU) 2021/694.

3 Target audience

All individuals and organisations from all backgrounds and countries are very welcome to contribute to this consultation.

4 About you

Bulgarian

*Language of my contribution

	Groatian
_	Czech
	Danish
	Dutch
	English
	Estonian
	Finnish
	French
0	German
	Greek
0	Hungarian
	Irish
	Italian
	Latvian
	Lithuanian
	Maltese
	Polish
	Portuguese
0	Romanian
	Slovak
	Slovenian
	Spanish
	Swedish
Firstı	name
Ro	omain
_	
Surna	ame
Me	ekarni
	2
	2

	til (this won't be published) omain@rmkn.fr
*I am	giving my contribution as
0	Academic/research institution
0	Business association
0	Company/business
0	Consumer organisation
0	EU citizen
0	Environmental organisation
0	Non-EU citizen
0	Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
0	Public authority
0	Trade union
0	Other
•	anisation name
255	character(s) maximum
,	/irtual Assembly
*Orga	anisation size
0	Micro (1 to 9 employees)
0	Small (10 to 49 employees)
0	Medium (50 to 249 employees)
0	Large (250 or more)
Trar	sparency register number
	k if your organisation is on the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to ence EU decision-making.
IIIIIue	nice LO decision-maxing.
* Cou	ntry of origin
	se add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.

	Afghanistan		Djibouti	0	Libya	0	Saint Martin
	Åland Islands	0	Dominica		Liechtenstein		Saint Pierre and
							Miquelon
	Albania	0	Dominican		Lithuania	0	Saint Vincent
			Republic				and the
							Grenadines
0	Algeria	0	Ecuador	0	Luxembourg	0	Samoa
	American Samoa	0	Egypt	0	Macau	0	San Marino
	Andorra		El Salvador		Madagascar		São Tomé and
							Príncipe
	Angola		Equatorial Guinea	a [©]	Malawi		Saudi Arabia
	Anguilla	0	Eritrea		Malaysia		Senegal
	Antarctica		Estonia		Maldives		Serbia
0	Antigua and	0	Eswatini		Mali		Seychelles
	Barbuda						
	Argentina	0	Ethiopia		Malta		Sierra Leone
	Armenia	0	Falkland Islands	0	Marshall Islands		Singapore
0	Aruba	0	Faroe Islands		Martinique		Sint Maarten
	Australia	0	Fiji	0	Mauritania		Slovakia
	Austria	0	Finland	0	Mauritius		Slovenia
	Azerbaijan	0	France		Mayotte		Solomon Islands
	Bahamas	0	French Guiana		Mexico		Somalia
	Bahrain	0	French Polynesia		Micronesia		South Africa
	Bangladesh	0	French Southern		Moldova		South Georgia
			and Antarctic				and the South
			Lands				Sandwich
							Islands
	Barbados		Gabon		Monaco		South Korea
	Belarus	0	Georgia		Mongolia		South Sudan
	Belgium		Germany		Montenegro		Spain
	Belize		Ghana		Montserrat		Sri Lanka
0	Benin	0	Gibraltar	0	Morocco		Sudan
0	Bermuda	0	Greece		Mozambique		Suriname
	Bhutan		Greenland		Myanmar/Burma		Svalbard and
							Jan Maven

0	Bolivia		Grenada	0	Namibia		Sweden
0	Bonaire Saint Eustatius and	0	Guadeloupe	0	Nauru	©	Switzerland
	Saba						
0	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	Guam	0	Nepal	0	Syria
0	Botswana	0	Guatemala	0	Netherlands	0	Taiwan
0	Bouvet Island	0	Guernsey	0	New Caledonia	0	Tajikistan
0	Brazil	0	Guinea	0	New Zealand	0	Tanzania
0	British Indian Ocean Territory	0	Guinea-Bissau	0	Nicaragua	0	Thailand
0	British Virgin Islands	0	Guyana	0	Niger	0	The Gambia
0	Brunei	0	Haiti	0	Nigeria	0	Timor-Leste
0	Bulgaria	0	Heard Island and	0	Niue	0	Togo
	J		McDonald Islands	3			J
0	Burkina Faso	0	Honduras		Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
0	Burundi		Hong Kong	0	Northern		Tonga
					Mariana Islands		
0	Cambodia		Hungary		North Korea	0	Trinidad and
							Tobago
0	Cameroon	0	Iceland		North Macedonia	0	Tunisia
0	Canada	0	India		Norway	0	Türkiye
0	Cape Verde	0	Indonesia	0	Oman	0	Turkmenistan
0	Cayman Islands	0	Iran	0	Pakistan	0	Turks and
							Caicos Islands
0	Central African	0	Iraq	0	Palau		Tuvalu
	Republic						
0	Chad	0	Ireland	0	Palestine	0	Uganda
©	Chile	0	Isle of Man	0	Panama	(iii)	Ukraine
0	China	0	Israel		Papua New	0	United Arab
					Guinea	0	Emirates
	Christmas Island		Italy		Paraguay		United Kingdom
	Clipperton		Jamaica		Peru		United States

0	Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	Philippines	0	United States
	Islands				Minor Outlying Islands
0	Colombia	Jersey	Pitcairn Islands	0	Uruguay
0	Comoros	Jordan	Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
0	Congo	Kazakhstan	Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
0	Cook Islands	Kenya	Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
0	Costa Rica	Kiribati	Qatar	0	Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	Réunion	0	Venezuela
0	Croatia	Kuwait	Romania	0	Vietnam
0	Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia	0	Wallis and
		, 0,			Futuna
0	Curaçao	Laos	Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
0	Cyprus	Latvia	Saint Barthélemy	0	Yemen
0	Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena	0	Zambia
			Ascension and		
			Tristan da Cunha	i	
0	Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and	0	Zimbabwe
	Republic of the		Nevis		
	Congo				
	Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia		

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

5 Your experience with the Digital Europe programme

- *How familiar are you with the Digital Europe programme?
 - I have a detailed knowledge of its objectives and actions
 - I am aware of the programme's existence, but I have no detailed knowledge of its objectives and priorities
 - I am not very familiar with the programme, its objectives and priorities

*Where do you get information about the programme?
programme website
EU funding & tenders portal
colleagues or partners
Digital Europe programme's national contact points
social media
nowhere (I do not follow the programme's developments)
elsewhere

Have you or your organisation benefited from the Digital Europe programme?
Yes, my organisation has received grants from the programme
Yes, my organisation has received procurement contracts from the programme

- Yes, my organisation or I have been a user of facilities and services* funded by the programme. (*For example, European Digital Innovation Hubs, AI Testing and Experimentation Facilities (TEF Health, AI–Matters, Citcom.AI, AgrifoodTEF), Destination Earth, Data Spaces, High-Performance Computing National Competence Centres, High-Performance Computing infrastructure, Security Operation Centres, National Coordination Centres for Cybersecurity, Better Internet for Kids Portal, European Digital Media Observatory, European Digital Identity Wallet, the Joinup platform, Interoperable Europe Academy, eLab, advanced digital skills training, interoperability testbeds, etc.)
- No, neither I nor my organisation have benefited from the programme
- I don't know

6 Objectives of the Digital Europe programme

The overall objectives of the programme are:

- to build essential capacities and advanced skills in key digital technology areas,
- and to accelerate their deployment and best use in areas of public interest and in the private sector, contributing to Europe's technological sovereignty.

The Digital Europe programme has six Specific Objectives (SOs):

- SO1 High Performance Computing (HPC)
- SO2 Artificial intelligence (AI)
- SO3 Cybersecurity and trust
- SO4 Advanced digital skills
- SO5 Interoperability
- SO6 Semiconductors

Are the programme's objectives and activities relevant to current and future challenges and needs?

More details can be found in the work programmes.

	Current Needs	Future Needs

SO1 - High performance computing. Deploy world-class exascale, post-exascale supercomputing and quantum computing capacities to ensure the widest access to and use of these capacities.	relevant	Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant No, not relevant No opinion I don't know
SO2 - Artificial intelligence. Deploy EU-wide common data spaces based on a cloud-to-edge federated infrastructure and promote the testing and adoption of artificial intelligence technologies with a European AI platform and world-class testing and experimentation facilities.	relevant	Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant No, not relevant No opinion I don't know
SO3 - Cybersecurity and trust. Build up advanced cybersecurity capabilities (equipment, tools and data infrastructures), including a quantum secure communication infrastructure for Europe. Promote the sharing of best practices and ensure a wide deployment of the state-of-the-art cybersecurity solutions across the European economy.	Yes, mostly relevantNo, not	Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant No, not relevant No opinion I don't know
SO4 - Advanced digital skills. Boost academic excellence by increasing the education and training offer in key digital technologies, such as high performance computing, cybersecurity and artificial intelligence. Support the design and delivery of training in these areas implemented through cooperation among tertiary education institutions, world-class research centres and innovative businesses.	Yes, mostly relevantNo, not	Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant No, not relevant No opinion I don't know

SO5 – Deployment and best use of key digital technologies and interoperability. Deploy a network of European digital innovation hubs supporting the digital transformation of European public and private organisations. Address key societal challenges (e.g. environment and climate change) via high-impact deployments. Reinforce the European blockchain capacities and the digital transformation of public administrations and services through interoperability solutions while promoting an inclusive and trustworthy digital space.	relevant	Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant No, not relevant No opinion I don't know
SO6 – Semiconductors. Build up advanced design capacities for integrated semiconductor technologies. Enhance existing and develop new advanced pilot lines across the EU to enable the development and deployment of cutting-edge and next-generation semiconductor technologies. Build advanced technology and engineering capacities for accelerating the innovative development of cutting-edge quantum chips and associated semiconductor technologies.	Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant No, not relevant No opinion I don't know	Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant No, not relevant No opinion I don't know

*For those responding as organisations: Please briefly outline the current challenges your organisation is facing in its digital transformation journey and any emerging needs you anticipate.

1000 character(s) maximum

As citizens of the EU, we aspire to drive and take ownership of innovation and transformation with Free of Open Source Software. However, funding is lacking. Often, organizations allocate their resources to pay for innovations from non-EU tech companies, which leverage commercial tactics and dominant market positions to impose their solutions, overshadowing alternatives.

To harness the potential of free and open-source software—defined as independent and freely usable rather than merely free of charge—we require funding and incentives to create network effects. We need to establish pathways for organizations to access guidance, support, and funding, enabling the development of high-quality software that is independent of major tech firms.

Do you think there are other areas not currently covered by the Digital Europe programme that should be considered in the future?

Yes

O No

Please explain what other areas related to the digital transformation you think the Digital Europe programme could address?

1000 character(s) maximum

Our existing communication technologies are limited by past architectural decisions. Currently, all innovation is dependent on the Internet and the Web, which imposes restrictions that hinder the European Union's aspirations for sovereignty, security, and cooperative free markets.

Creating alternatives necessitates the involvement of skilled and independent creators of free and opensource software. These innovators share a common vision and are working to establish new technological foundations that will enable the emergence of new systems. No single organization can achieve this alone. It is essential for society as a whole to support research and development efforts to foster a future characterized by greater independence and cutting-edge solutions to address our challenges.

Free and open-source software forms the foundation of much of today's economic progress. We must prioritize its development, increase its usage, and provide the necessary funding to support it.

7 Relevance of the Digital Europe programme to current and future technological trends

In your view, how relevant is the Digital Europe programme to supporting the following critical technologies[2]?

[2] As defined in the C(2023) 6689 Commission Recommendation of 3 October 2023 on critical technology areas for the EU's economic security for further risk assessment with Member States.

	Relevance	Relevance at EU level
	Yes, very	Yes, very
	relevant	relevant
	Yes, mostly	Yes, mostly
	relevant	relevant
	Yes,	Yes,
Advanced semiconductors technologies	moderately	moderately
	relevant	relevant
	No, not	No, not
	relevant	relevant
	No opinion	No opinion
	l don't know	l don't know

	@ v/	
	Yes, very	Yes, very
	relevant	relevant
	Yes, mostly	Yes, mostly
	relevant	relevant
	Yes,	Yes,
Artificial Intelligence technologies	moderately	moderately
	relevant	relevant
	No, not	No, not
	relevant	relevant
	No opinion	No opinion
	I don't know	I don't know
	Yes, very	Yes, very
	relevant	relevant
	Yes, mostly	Yes, mostly
	relevant	relevant
	[©] Yes,	[◎] Yes,
Quantum technologies	moderately	moderately
	relevant	relevant
	No, not	No, not
	relevant	relevant
	No opinion	No opinion
	l don't know	l don't know
	Yes, very	Yes, very
	relevant	relevant
	Yes, mostly	Yes, mostly
	relevant	relevant
	Yes,	[◎] Yes,
Robotics and autonomous systems	moderately	moderately
	relevant	relevant
	No, not	No, not
	relevant	relevant
	No opinion	No opinion
	I don't know	I don't know

Biotechnologies	Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant Yes, moderately relevant No, not relevant	Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant Yes, moderately relevant No, not relevant
	No opinionI don't know	No opinion I don't know
Advanced connectivity, navigation and digital technologies including cybersecurity technologies, virtual reality, internet of things, distributed ledger and digital identity technologies	Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant Yes, moderately relevant No, not relevant No opinion I don't know	Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant Yes, moderately relevant No, not relevant No opinion I don't know
Advanced sensing technologies	Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant Yes, moderately relevant No, not relevant No opinion I don't know	Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant Yes, moderately relevant No, not relevant No opinion I don't know

Advanced materials, manufacturing and recycling technologies	 Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant Yes, moderately relevant No, not relevant No opinion I don't know 	 Yes, very relevant Yes, mostly relevant Yes, moderately relevant No, not relevant No opinion I don't know
--	--	--

Are there any technologies the Digital Europe programme does not address, that you think should also be included?

1000 character(s) maximum

Often, critical technologies are neither visible nor glamorous. The Internet serves as the backbone for all significant innovations of this century, influencing developments in ways that can be overlooked or underestimated. Yet, it fundamentally shapes how power and ownership are established within technologies that profoundly impact citizens' lives.

Free and open-source software inspires highly skilled engineers and engaged citizens aiming to foster sovereignty, security, and autonomy for the EU population through independent and freely available software. To achieve this, they require increased funding and political support to effectively utilize and put these tools to the test in real-world scenarios.

8
Expected benefits, impacts and added value of the Digital Europe Programme

Do you think the Digital Europe programme closes the gap between academic research and commercial deployment of digital technologies at scale?

- Yes
- To some extent
- O No
- I cannot say

Please further explain your response:

1000 character(s) maximum

Innovative technologies requires small but diverse attempts to find good recipes. However it seems today that funding is more directed towards large companies with classic commercial deployment. We understand this strategy because it is safe. However we think there is a high potential for a small fraction of this funding to quickly and easily foster smaller projects and initiative with high risks so that a few of them find the right momentum and scale to something real. Like how the startup investment model works, we may inspire and take more small risks and move faster.

There is a prevailing perception that small organizations require the right networks to access opportunities. We can enhance diversity by expanding funding access to a broader population and implementing new processes that invite more individuals to join the network, drawing inspiration from initiatives like the Next Generation Internet.

The Digital Europe programme aims to create large, cross-border digital ecosystems to ensure the EU's digital autonomy and competitiveness on the global stage. What factors have already contributed to such digital ecosystems? You may select several.

Implementation of multi-country projects
Funding of long-term actions from preparatory phase through deployment
Continuous and strategic focus of funding on key digital areas over several
work programmes
The funding of activities that are interconnected, are mutually reinforcing, and
/or build on each other
Wide use of procurement procedures (including joint procurement)
I don't know
Other

To what extent do you think that the Digital Europe programme is helping achieve the following impacts?

Benefits achieved so far	Benefits expected in the future

Increasing the EU's competitiveness on a global scale	Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion	Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion
Ensuring the EU's strategic autonomy in digital technologies and in future emerging enabling technologies	Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion	Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion
Digitally transforming EU governments / public organisations	 Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion 	Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion

Achieving a high level of digital intensity and innovation in EU's enterprises, in particular start-ups and SMEs	Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion	Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion
Narrowing the advanced digital skills gap in key technological areas	 Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion 	Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion
Achieving an interconnected and competitive European data economy	Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion	Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion

Deploying trustworthy artificial intelligence which respects EU values and rules	Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion	Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion
Improving resilience to cyberattacks, contributing to increasing risk-awareness and the understanding of cybersecurity processes, and increasing the efforts of public and private organisations to achieve at least basic levels of cybersecurity	 Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion 	 Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion
Increasing sustainability, resilience, and energy- and resource-efficiency of digital infrastructure and technologies and their supply chains to promote environmental sustainability	 Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion 	 Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion

Increasing accessibility to public services, health and care services in a trusted and secure online environment for everyone	 Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion 	 Very high extent High extent Some extent Limited extent Very limited extent No benefits I do not know No opinion
---	---	---

If you believe the programme helps achieve other impacts, please list them below:

10	00 character(s) maximum

How would you rate the added value of this EU programme on the following objectives?

	Added value so far	Added value expected in the future
Increasing the EU's competitiveness on a global scale	 Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion 	 Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion

Ensuring the EU's strategic autonomy in digital technologies and in future emerging enabling technologies	 Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion 	 Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion
Enhancing international cooperation	 Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion 	 Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion
Bridging the digital divide across the EU	Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion	Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion

Strengthening links between EU digital policies (e.g. Digital Decade policy programme, NIS2 directive, data act, etc.) and the EU's digital transformation	Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion	Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion
Leveraging funding for public digital activities/programmes (e.g. from national/regional level, private sources)	Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion	 Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion
Cutting costs (coordination costs and overheads) of running public digital activities/programmes	Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion	Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion

Pooling resources to deploy large-scale digital infrastructures	 Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion 	 Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion
Helping bring digital innovations to the market	Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion	Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion
Increasing everyone's access to opportunities to develop advanced digital skills and competencies	Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion	 Very high added value High added value Modest added value Low added value Very low added value I do not know No opinion

	Very highadded valueHigh addedvalueModest added	Very highadded valueHigh addedvalueModest added
Financing projects which otherwise could not be	value	value
supported at national and/or regional level	Low added	Low added
	value	value
	Very low	Very low
	added value	added value
	I do not know	I do not know
	No opinion	No opinion

If you believe there are other objectives to which the programme has added value, please list them below:

1000 character(s) maximum

9 Synergies and coherence with other funding instruments

The Digital Europe programme is designed to be complementary to and provide synergies with other funding instruments available at national, EU or International level, working coherently with them, while avoiding overlaps.

Please comment whether, and if so to which extent, the programme is coherent with:

	Extent of coherence
Regional/local funding opportunities/instruments to build capacity and skills in key digital technology areas and accelerate their deployment	 Fully coherent Partially coherent Not coherent at all I don't know No opinion

National funding opportunities/instruments to build capacity and skills in key digital technology areas and accelerate their deployment	 Fully coherent Partially coherent Not coherent at all I don't know No opinion 	
Other EU funding opportunities/instruments to build capacity and skills in key digital technology areas and accelerate their deployment	Fully coherent Partially coherent Not coherent at all I don't know No opinion	
Other international funding opportunities/instruments to build capacity and skills in key digital technology areas and accelerate their deployment	Fully coherent Partially coherent Not coherent at all I don't know No opinion	
Please explain your choice(s) and provide examples to support your answer		
What else could be done to improve the coherence of the Digital Europe programme with other national or regional initiatives? 1000 character(s) maximum		
10 Detailed knowledge of the Digital Europe programme		
What do you think are the main reasons that may have prevented potential beneficiaries from participating in the Digital Europe programme?		

■ Inadequate knowledge of the programme

	Limited attractiveness compared to other European or international
	programmes
	Limited attractiveness compared to other national or regional programmes
	Cumbersome application process
	Cumbersome project implementation rules
	Discouragingly low success rates
V	Difficulties in finding project partners
	Lack of a relevant area/topic for my personal or organisational interests and
	needs
	Concerns about sharing valuable knowledge with partners
1	Inadequate financial/human resources to prepare a proposal
1	Funding rate
1	Lack of expertise
	Other

What are your recommendations to simplify the implementation of the programme for organisations interested in participating?

1000 character(s) maximum

Organizations like Next Generation Internet play a crucial role by enabling numerous individuals and small teams to propose projects in free and open-source software. The funding process is relatively swift and efficient. Members of our Virtual Assembly have successfully leveraged this support to develop innovative internet technologies that align well with EU objectives.

Overall, we believe that funding intermediary organizations with strong expertise is essential. They can invest in smaller initiatives, helping them grow and potentially merge into larger projects. Specifically, we advocate for increased funding for organizations like Next Generation Internet (NGI) to effectively tackle the challenges facing the internet today.

To what extent do you think the types of actions used by the European Commission to implement the Digital Europe programme are still relevant for pursuing its objectives in the future?

	Relevance of actions
	Very relevant
	Mostly relevant
Simple grant (SIMPLE)	Not relevant
	Don't know
	No opinion

Procurement	Very relevant Mostly relevant Not relevant Don't know No opinion
Joint procurement	Very relevantMostly relevantNot relevantDon't knowNo opinion
Coordination & support actions (CSAs)	Very relevantMostly relevantNot relevantDon't knowNo opinion
Grants for procurement	Very relevantMostly relevantNot relevantDon't knowNo opinion
Grants for financial support	Very relevantMostly relevantNot relevantDon't knowNo opinion
Lump sum grants	Very relevantMostly relevantNot relevantDon't knowNo opinion

Framework Partnerships Agreements (FPAs) / Specific Grant Agreements (SGAs)	Very relevantMostly relevantNot relevantDon't knowNo opinion	
Please explain your choice(s) and	d provide examples to	support your answer
What other types of financing currently not used within the Digital Europe programme (e.g. financing not linked to costs) do you consider relevant and why? 1000 character(s) maximum		
From your perspective, where are the strongest or clearest synergies within the activities funded by the Digital Europe programme? Where do you see any unexploited potential for synergies? Please explain your choice(s) and provide examples to support your answer. 1000 character(s) maximum		
While private companies developing proprietary assets may move quickly with funding, they often hinder collaboration with other stakeholders. In contrast, funding for free and open-source software supports multiple parties simultaneously, promoting synergy and collective progress.		
The benefits of free and open-source software scale exceptionally well. By investing in it just once, anyone can leverage that value to foster greater innovation. It makes strategic sense to prioritize funding in this area to facilitate cascading innovation and accelerate iteration.		
Which EU programme(s) do you to Digital Europe programme? Horizon Europe European Regional Develope European Social Fund Plus European Maritime, Fisherie Connecting Europe Facility InvestEU Erasmus+	oment Fund (ERDF) (ESF)+ es and Aquaculture Fi	
Creative Europe programme	9	

Recovery and Resilience Facility
EU4Health
Single Market programme
LIFE - programme for environment and climate action (LIFE)
Union space programme
Justice programme
Innovation fund under the Emission Trading Scheme
Just Transition Mechanism
European Defence Fund
I don't know
Other
Do you see overlaps between the Digital Europe programme and other EU funding
programmes? If yes, please name the programme(s) and the area(s) of overlap.
1000 character(s) maximum

The Digital Europe programme is designed to be implemented in synergy with other EU funding programmes. Where do you think these synergies have materialised and been exploited so far?

	Synergies exploited so far
Horizon Europe	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion
European Regional Development Fund (ERDF)	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion

European Social Fund Plus (ESF)+	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion
European Maritime, Fisheries and Aquaculture Fund (EMFAF)	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion
Connecting Europe Facility (CEF)	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion
InvestEU	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion
Erasmus+	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion

Creative Europe programme	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion
Recovery and Resilience Facility	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion
EU4Health	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion
Single Market programme	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion
LIFE - programme for environment and climate action (LIFE)	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion

Union Space programme	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion
Justice programme	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion
Innovation fund under the Emission Trading Scheme	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion
Just Transition Mechanism	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion
European Defence Fund	 Synergies fully exploited Several synergies exploited Few synergies exploited No synergies exploited I do not know No opinion

	140 opinion	
If other, please specify:		
Totalor, produce opcomy.		

Contact

Stefan.HAHN@ec.europa.eu